

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,671	03/09/2004	Keith Edward Foley	600.1263	3017
23280	7590 12/17/2007	1.0	EXAMINER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			COLILLA, DANIEL JAMES	
NEW YORK,	NY 10018		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2854	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			A)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office Action Commons	10/796,671	FOLEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel J. Colilla	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Sec</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expression in the practice under Expression in the Expression i	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11-17,19 and 20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 is/are withdrawn fr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,11-15 and 19 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2007 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Art Unit: 2854

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Platteter *et al.* (US 5,629,775) as outlined in the Office action mailed on 6/13/2007.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Platteter *et al.* (US 5,629,775), as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Okano (US 2001/0011219) as outlined in the Office action mailed on 6/13/2007.
- 5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platteter *et al.* (US 5,629,775) in view of Goers *et al.* (US 2002/0096942) as outlined in the Office action mailed on 6/13/2007.

10/796,671 Art Unit: 2854

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive of any error in the above rejection.

With respect to applicant's arguments that Platteter *et al.* does not teach detecting the type of a device. The examiner invites applicant's attention to column 7, lines 8-11, in which Platteter *et al.* discloses:

However, if a device can be both a feeding and a finishing device, the situation could be handled by having a switch on the device that would select which power-up ID the device would use.

Clearly the device is being identified by type, such as feeding or finishing device at power-up.

Additionally, in column 6, lines 52-55, Platteter et al. discloses that:

Autoconfiguration, in accordance with the present invention, is defined as the ability of the print engine to determine the capabilities and positions of all devices that are connected to it. Autoconfiguration relieves the operator of the task of describing to the print engine the configuration and positions of each of the document feeder or finisher devices and this capability is necessary to provide a more reliable means of determining the configuration of the devices.

"The ability of the print engine to determine the capabilities and positions of all devices that are connected to it" is the equivalent of knowing the type. For example a machine capable of stapling a document is enough to indicated that it is a stapler.

In response to applicant's argument that Okano is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be

Art Unit: 2854

reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, applicant's claim is directed to structure for storing data. One of ordinary skill in the art would thus be inclined to look to technologies that store information as Okano teaches. Teachings of the manner in which data is stored is applicable to all fields that require data storage.

With respect to applicant's arguments regarding claim 12, Goers is not relied upon for teaching a first device connected to a controller; Platteter *et al.* teaches this, instead Goers is relied upon to show a teaching of connecting electrical components with a plug. This is a very basic expedient that is well-known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

In view of applicant's arguments filed on 9/17/2007, the prior art rejection of claims 16-17 has been withdrawn. Specifically, as indicated by applicant, there is no evidence that Pin 264 is regarded as an input power pin.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakayama is cited to show another example of a graphics machine that identifies devices connected to it (paragraph 102). Inglese *et al.* is cited to show another example of a graphics machine that automatically identifies attached devices (abstract). Webster *et al.* is cited to show a graphics machine which has a controller that identifies modules in any geometrical combination (col. 1, lines 51-58).

10/796,671 Art Unit: 2854

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Colilla whose telephone number is 571-272-2157. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached at 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel J. Colilla
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2854